

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,231	MAZZAROLO, IVONIS M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	

All Participants:

(1) EDMUND H. LEE.

(2) MR. YOUNG.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1-9

Claims discussed:

THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Prior art documents discussed:

THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
EXAMINER INFORMED MR. YOUNG THAT ELECTED INSTANT CLAIMS 1-9 WOULD BE ALLOWABLE UPON
FILING OF TD OVER PARENT APPLICATION 10/207595 AND THE CHANGES MADE TO THE PARENT CLAIMS
ARE MADE TO INSTANT CLAIMS 1-9..